



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandru, Virginis 22313-1459 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,052	08/28/2001	Jorg Schlieffers	1206	5662
7596 12/02/2003 ALAN ISREAL KIRCHSTEIN OTTINGER ISREAL & SCHIFFMILLER 489 FIFTII AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017			EXAMINER LEE, DIANE I	
			2876	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/941,052	SCHLIEFFERS, JORG	
Examiner	Art Unit	
D. I. Lee	2876	

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the event, however, will the statutory period ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 3 have been filed is the date for purposes of determ	months from the mailing date of the final rejection. e mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date so dof reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mi- HE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT OF CFR 1.38(a). The date on which the petition under inling the period of extension and the corresponding as	ailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee mount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under
	(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the cearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	Office later than three months after the mailing date of 04(b).	
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed or 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extens	n Appellant's Brief must be filed wi sion thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid d	ithin the period set forth in ismissal of the appeal.
	2. The proposed amendment(s) w	rill not be entered because:	
	(a) X they raise new issues that	would require further consideration and/or	r search (see NOTE below);
	(b) they raise the issue of new	matter (see Note below);	
	(c) they are not deemed to platissues for appeal; and/or	ace the application in better form for appe	al by materially reducing or simplifying the
	(d) they present additional cla	aims without canceling a corresponding nu	ımber of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation St		
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome		
i	Newly proposed or amended classifications the non-allowable classification.		ted in a separate, timely filed amendment
	5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit application in condition for allo	, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has b wance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	peen considered but does NOT place the
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT raised by the Examiner in the f	Γ be considered because it is not directed final rejection.	SOLELY to issues which were newly
		oposed amendment(s) a) will not be ent amended claims would be rejected is prov	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or	will be) as follows:	
1	Claim(s) allowed: none.		
	Claim(s) objected to: none.		
	Claim(s) rejected: 19-25.		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consid		
1	8. The drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.
I	9. Note the attached Information D	Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s)
I	10.⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet		
			D. I. Lee D. I. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2876
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 1103

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

, 009/941,052

Continuation of 2. NOTE: "the side edges of the first resillient member constituting a pair of front contact zones spaced apart from one another and the rear contact zone of the second resilient member together support the housing on a planar support surface at three individual contact zones spaced apart from each other" raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Reynolds as modified by Evers still meets the limitations as broadly set forth in the claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 19-25 remaine rejected as set forth in the Final Rejection mailed on 8/28/03...